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SUMMARY

Depicted arms and armour became particularly widespread in the Augustan period, when the Prince’s veterans tended to emphasise their role in the consolidation of the Empire with the adoption of these war themes in their tombs. The comparison between some funerary reliefs from Italy, the so-called Lusitanian Warriors, and some monetary emissions from the Iberian Peninsula, together with a recent finding in the Forum of Augustus, allows for the hypothesis that the celebration of Augustan victories in this region could have significantly contributed to the repertoire of depicted weapons that celebrated the new Empire.
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The iconography of stacked arms and armour, together with those isolated in the metopes of the Doric friezes, characterises a large number of funerary monuments erected by the first generations of Augustan followers in Italy (Polito 1998; 2011, with lit.). The extraordinary popularity enjoyed at this stage by this artistic subject among Augustan veterans and settlers cannot be explained without assuming high-level urban models. Nevertheless, the search for examples related to official monuments of this period is not generous with its results. The celebration of the Actium victory of 31 BC in Rome seems to be recalled in an important series of reliefs pertaining to an unknown urban monument, in which the depicted objects are not weapons, but instead parts of ships and sacrificial instruments (Hölscher 1985: 84-87 figs. 1-2; Leoncini 1987), a choice that has perhaps to be explained by the desire not to emphasise the bloody aspect of the epilogue of the Civil Wars, which rather required forms of expiation. However, the impact of the commemorative monument of Nicopolis, which contained a frieze with weapons, is yet to be fully assessed (Zachos 2003: 83-85 figs. 28, 30-31; 2007: I, 418 and passim; II, 311 drawing 6; 315 figs. 8-9). It cannot, therefore, be excluded that the ship spoils, which appear frequently in funerary monuments, rest on models created in relation to the Actium victory.
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The celebration of the signa recepta after 20 BC, following the restitution of the Roman standards caught by Parthians from Crassus’ legions in the Carrhae defeat of 53 BC, has been convincingly connected to a small group of urban reliefs with weapons, also from an unknown monument, containing an explicit reference to the Eastern world in the depiction of the Parthian tiara (Tempesta 1991/92: 323 figs. 8-9).

Nevertheless, the weapons depicted in the many funerary monuments of the Augustan period show a very diverse repertoire, with many types related to the barbaric West, whose presence cannot be justified only with reference to any monuments celebrating the victory of Actium, or the return of Crassus’ standards. The variety of references to the entire universe of the enemies of Rome suggests the presence of a substrate prepared for a long time, possibly going back to Republican monuments, if not to earlier Hellenistic specimens (examples collected in Polito 1998): the most prominent and already in some sense universal examples are the weapon friezes from the sanctuary of Athena at Pergamon, but it is difficult not to consider the Republican coinage, frequently depicting trophies.

The conventional aspect of many decorations must not discourage us: under the veil of an often repetitive artistic language and the poor quality of many funerary ornaments of the early Empire, one can still try to distinguish conventional elements from specific types of weapons. Important clues suggest, for example, that a significant role in the formation of the weapons-repertoire may have been played by the Cantabrian and Asturian Wars (29–19 BC) (on the Cantabrian Wars see Almagro Gorbéa 1999; Rodà 2006, with prev. literature). Some types of weapons carved in early imperial reliefs are in fact unmistakably linked to the Iberian world.

This is particularly evident in the case of labyrinth-patterned round shields depicted on coins related to the wars that took place in the Iberian Peninsula in the early Augustan period (Fig. 1) (RPC I, 1992, 67-68 nr. 1-4 pl. I, 1-4; RPC Suppl. I, 1998, p. 7 with lit.), but also carried by the so-called Lusitanian warrior-statues from Portuguese Galicia (Fig. 2) (Calo Lourido 1994; Quesada Sanz 2003; Die lusitanisch-galläkischen Kriegerstatuen 2003, nr. 8, p. 9 pls. 6-7): the shield type has been recognised in the caetra of the Iron Age Hispanic populations (Cabré Aguiló 1939–40; see also Guadán 1979; Quesada Sanz 1997: 489-532). The comparison with weapon friezes from early Imperial grave monuments is striking: a Doric frieze from Rome (Fig. 3) (Mustilli 1938: 186 nr. 104 pl. CXVII, 454; Blanco Freijeiro 1971: 231 pl. II fig. 2), dated as the whole group no later than the first decades of the power of Augustus, and a relief-plate with a flat frame from Pietrabondata Samnite sanctuary (Fig. 4) (Colonna 1974: pl. XCVIIIa; Cianfarani et alii 1978: 484 pl. 310; Italia dei Samniti 2000: 46 fig. 55), show exactly this type of shield, with the same labyrinth pattern. For the latter, the 2nd century BC dating proposed in previous editions should be rejected; in our opinion it was part of the decoration of a sepulchral monu-
ment erected in the cemetery planted in the area of the samnite sanctuary after the Social War, so probably between the end of the Republic and the early Augustan age. Among various considerations, the comparison with the mentioned coins and monuments has its weight.

Even if the depictions of the labyrinth-castra on monuments from ancient Callaecia could allow a reference to earlier times, such as the conquest of this region by Brutus Callaicus, who celebrated his triumph in 136 BC, the Augustan dating of the above mentioned coins suggests an Augustan chronology also for the creation of the iconography. Significantly,
another example is depicted in a frieze from a monument of Trasacco in ancient Marsica (Abruzzo, Italy), related to a prominent family of equestrian rank in the area and now convincingly dated to the late Augustan or early Tiberian period (Fig. 5) (Strazzulla 2007). The labyrinth shield is well attested in later times, however, as for instance in an important and neglected frieze from Split (Polito 1998: 155 f. fig. 89) and in the reliefs from Trajan’s Forum (Meneghini 2001: fig. 5; Ungaro 2002: 128 fig. 1).

A similar case is that of a frieze block from ancient Capua (Fig. 6) (formerly in the garden in front of the Amphitheater of Santa Maria Capua Vetere, unpublished), showing a circular shield with concentric rows of studs, which closely resembles denarii probably struck in the Iberian peninsula during the Augustan wars (Fig. 7) (RIC I², 1984, 38; 85 nr. 543 a-b, pl. 10). As in the case of the labyrinth shield, it was supposed to reproduce an indigenous shield, which was also very likely linked to the Roman victories in the Iberian Northwest. This emission is strictly related to another, better known coin series signed by P. Carisius, legatus in Spain in this period, which shows a circular shield decorated with a lozenge-pattern and studs flanked by an Iberian falcata and a long triangular spearhead with concave blades (Fig. 8) (RIC I², 41 nr. 2a-b, pl. 1). The relationship between these two series is attested by a rarer variant coin of the Carisius emission, in which the lozenge-shield is substituted by the one with concentric rows of studs (Fig. 9) (Cohen 1880, 401; Giard 2001: 1033).

The Carisius emissions also include other series with triumphal subjects that have been convincingly compared with the so-called “Triumphalprägung” of Octavian (Trillmich 1990: 300-302; García-Bellido 2008: 193-195). The whole coin group has been the subject of a long scholarly discussion, involving
the mint identification and other issues impossible to
discuss here (García-Bellido 2004: 78, with lit.). Here,
we will not deal with other significant weapons and
pieces of armour depicted on the same coins and on
other related emissions, such as the above mentioned
struck with the caetra: the falcata (figs. 1, 8, 9 right),
which was apparently not depicted on weapon friezes;
the Celtiberian bidiscooidal pugio (fig. 1, left), which
is difficult to distinguish from other similar short
glaives on such friezes; and, finally, a singular mask-
helmet, whose depiction on another emission of the
same series has been the subject of an interesting
hypothesis that would require a separate discussion
(RIC I², 1984, 8; García-Bellido 2004: 80, with dis-
cussion). Nevertheless, without doubt such war sym-
bols may be traced to an earlier tradition in the his-
tory of the ancient Iberian region: one should compare
an emission of Turrirecina (Badajoz), probably dat-
ing to the 2nd Century BC and featuring the caetra
with the falcata (García-Bellido and Blázquez 2001:
II, 383, nr. 1). If the Turrirecina-coins are to be in-
terpreted as symbols of local identity, so the latter
emission has also to be seen as a tribute and not sim-
ply a trophy (as in other Carisius coins), in gratitude
for the already completed conquest: a comparison has
been suggested with the iconographic pattern of the
Augustan clipeus virtutis (García-Bellido 2008: 193-
195, with broader discussion).

However, at least another weapon type depicted
on such coins provides an argument in favour of the
connection between Italian veteran monuments and
the Roman victories in Spain: it is the long spearhead
with concave blades, which occurs in the above dis-
cussed emissions (figs. 8-9, left), as well as in weapon
friezes within the same period. A Padua frieze is well-
dated to the Augustan period on the basis of the an-
nexed moulding (Fig. 10) (Polito 1998: 169 fig. 111).
More difficult to place chronologically, though prob-
ably dating back to the same period (or slightly lat-
er), is a frieze of rather rough quality, but with in-
teresting antiquarian details, pertaining to a funerary
monument and now walled in Piazza della Loggia in
Brescia (Fig. 11) (unpublished; see Passamani 1979:
34), in which the spears are associated a square-pat-
terned shield that could be the result of a distortion
of the labyrinth-pattern.

Apparently, there is no exactly comparable spec-
imen among preserved spearheads from the Late Iron
Age Iberian peninsula: considering the weapons de-
picted on the Carisius emission, the Spanish schol-
ar Fernando Quesada Sanz came to the conclusion
that: "... las armas representadas no son necesaria-
mente las armas cántabras, sino la idea o prototipo
de arma bárbara y exótica, tomada de la panoplia
hispana que los Romanos conocían de antemano (fal-
cata por ejemplo)” (Quesada Sanz 1997: 421 with Pl.
XVA). In fact, similar spearheads from the Caesar-
ian battlefield of Alesia in Gaul have been ascribed
to the Roman army on the base of a very feeble hy-
pothesis (Sievers 2001, 161, 224 sg. nr 270-278; 180
fig. 13; pl. 62, particularly nr. 274-276). One should
also remember that Caesar used, among others, units
of Hispanic cavalry, and that similar weapons are
depicted on the Mausoleum of the Iulii in St. Remy-
de-Provence (Couissin 1923: 311 fig. 3; Rolland

Nevertheless, it is beyond doubt that, from the
Roman point of view, the panoply on the above dis-
cussed emissions recalled a specific Hispanic hori-
zon, which cannot be identified, at this historical

---

**Figura 10.** Frieze block from Padua. Padova, Italy, Museo
Civico, Catalogo delle Collezioni Lapidarie I, n°. 150 (Photo
Courtesy of Musei Civici, Padua, Neg. nr.14416).

**Figura 11.** Frieze plate walled in the façade of the Monte di
Pietà in Piazza della Loggia, Brescia, Italy (Photo Stefano
Bolognini, Milan).
moment, but with the north-western region in which most military operations took place. That this specific type of spearhead cannot be compared with real findings of the area should not be taken as proof against this theory. Together with caetra, falcata, pugio and possibly other weapons, it could have been taken as a symbol of the victories over Cantabri and Astures, thanks also to the typical inexactitude of ancient Ethnology, that, for instance, allowed a Gallic trumpet (carnyx) to be depicted on a trophy of another Carisius emission, in a perspective that saw perhaps the Cantabri as “relatives” of the Gauls (Trillmich 1990, 300). It is a fact, however, that the discussed spearheads do not appear in other more typical Celtic or otherwise “barbarian” iconographies, and that the only reliable path leads to the Carisius emissions.

A new archaeological find from the Forum of Augustus could be eventually connected to this spear type and represent a key to the overall reading of the iconography thus far reported. Indeed, a spearhead with concave blades has come to light during recent excavations (Fig. 12) (Coletta & Pinna Caboni 2010: 73-74 fig. 26). It is the opinion of the publishers that the spearhead was part of an artistic decoration – statue or trophy – rather than a real weapon. The reference to the iconography of the weapon friezes is convincing: the publishers follow the iconographic fortune of this spear type until the panoplies of the Hadrianeum in Rome (Coletta & Pinna Caboni 2010: 74 note 39).

As far as Augustus’s Forum is concerned, it is difficult not to refer to a famous Ovid passage, which alludes to arms, perhaps represented, at the entrance either of Augustus’ Forum or of the Temple of Mars Ultor Fasti 5, 561 f.: “Perspicit (scil. Mars) in foribus diversae tela figurae / armaque terrarum militis victa suo”; cp. Zanker 1968: 12). The Forum of Augustus became in fact the central place of imperial war policy, in which individual generals were required to deposit their weapons booty (Suet. Aug. 29; Cass. Dio 55, 10, 2 s.). It is no surprise that the repertoire of war symbols created in the aftermath of the last major military campaigns that involved the same Augustus, namely the Cantabrian Wars, was recalled later in the decoration of the Forum of Augustus, which opened in 2 BC.


Figura 13. Fragments of frieze and inscription walled in the Tomba dei Rabirii on the Via Appia in Rome (IV Mile) (Author’s Photo).
The preserved documents and monuments hardly allow going beyond this point. We would wish to know more about a frieze fragment with weapons from a funerary monument of the Via Appia in Rome, which is preserved in the tomb of the Rabirii, with an inscription that mentions a military tribune who died in Lusitania (Fig. 13) (CIL, VI 3502; Polito 1998: 162 fig. 98); Ricci 2006: 121 fig. 29: - - - - - - - ?/[ - - - - - - A]eschinus pater; tribunus) [militum - - - ?], / oc cusus est in Lusit[ania] - - - - / - - - - - - ?): the association between the frieze fragment and the inscription is quite likely considering the type of marble, apparently identical, and the similar chronology of both in the Augustan age. We would not be surprised to discover that the monument iconography eventually included a reference to the wars fought in the Iberian Peninsula at that time.

In conclusion, it is plausible that in the early Augustan age one or more commemorative monuments contained references to the Augustan wars in the Iberian Peninsula, the importance of which has been increasingly stressed in recent research (Morillo Cerdán 2002; Pérez-González and Illarregui 2005; Morillo Cerdán and Aurrecoechea 2006; García-Bellido 2006). The existence of an Augustan triumphal monument for the bellum Cantabrum, possibly echoing an older monument for the Cimbric victory of Marius, has been argued, possibly echoing an older monument possibly identical, and the similar chronology of both in the Augustan age. We would not be surprised to discover that the monument iconography eventually included a reference to the wars fought in the Iberian Peninsula at that time.

For the first generations of soldiers loyal to the prince, it was probably easier to refer to the decades-long wars in Spain, with whose celebratory themes they could best identify, rather than to the Actian war. After some eventual Hellenistic examples, and perhaps some unknown late-republican monuments, it is likely, therefore, that the models created at this stage helped to form the basis of the standard repertoire of weapons of the vanquished, so often repeated in many different contexts until late antiquity.
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